1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 MONICA SUD, 7 Plaintiff, 8 v. 9 COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, 10 et al.. 11 Defendants. 12 13 This matter is scheduled for a hearing on December 18, 2015, to consider the motions to 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Court will issue an Order in due course. IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Dated: December 14, 2015 26 27

28

Case No. <u>15-cv-03783-JSW</u>

ORDER REQUIRING ADDITIONAL BRIEFING AND VACATING HEARING

Re: Docket No. 38, 39, 47

dismiss filed by Defendants. The Court finds that the motions are suitable for disposition without oral argument, but it also finds that additional briefing on the following question is warranted. Accordingly, the Court VACATES the hearing scheduled for December 18, 2015. Plaintiff is HEREBY ORDERED to file a response to the following question by no later than December 21, 2015: If the Court determines that the motions to dismiss for lack of Article III and statutory standing can be resolved on the existing record and that those motions show that Plaintiff lacks standing, what facts, if any, could Plaintiff include in an amended complaint to demonstrate that she has standing to pursue these claims? Unless the Court determines a response from Defendants is necessary, the matter shall be deemed submitted upon filing of Plaintiff's response, and the